



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 719,134	12 07 2000	Hiroyuki Kado	NAKI-BN23	5279

7590

09 09 2003

Joseph W Price
Price Gess & Ubell
2100 S E Main St Suite 250
Irvine, CA 92614

EXAMINER

WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2879

DATE MAILED: 09 09 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/719,134

Applicant(s)

KADO ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph L. Williams

Art Unit

2879

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 192-266 and 275-280 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 192-220 and 226-266 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 221-225 and 275-280 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4, 10. 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 221-225 in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 221-225 and 275-280 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkenblit et al. (US 4,018,490) in view of Shinoda et al. (EP 0554172), both of record by Applicant.

Regarding claim 221, Berkenblit ('490) teaches in column 6, line 5 through column 8, line 19, a PDP production method comprising: a heating step for heating a first panel while an MgO layer is formed on the first panel is in contact with a dry gas; and a bonding step for, after the heating step, and putting the first panel and the second panel together and bonding the first panel and the second panel.

Art Unit: 2879

Berkenblit ('490) does not disclose applying a fluorescent layer on the second panel.

Further regarding claim 221, Shinoda ('172) teaches applying a fluorescent layer on a panel of a PDP for the purpose of

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fluorescent layer of Shinoda in the gas discharge of Berkenblit for the purpose of

Regarding claims 222-225, the Applicant appears to claim characteristics of dry air, which Berkenblit ('490) teaches in column 8, line 6.

Regarding claim 275, Berkenblit ('490) teaches the dry air is circulated between the first panel and the second panel during the bonding step.

Regarding claim 276, Berkenblit ('490) teaches heating the panels for up to an hour at a temperature range of 480-520 degrees C. Since the general conditions of the heating process are disclosed by Berkenblit ('490), one of ordinary skill in the art could discover the optimum heating temperature without undue experimentation.

Regarding claim 277, 278, and 280, the Applicant appears to claim characteristics of nitrogen, which Berkenblit ('490) teaches in column 8, line 6.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 279, Berkenblit ('490) teaches the dry air is repetitively inserted between the first panel and the second panel and exhausted (outgassed) to a vacuum.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Joseph Williams

Examiner
Art Unit 2879